Case: 1:11-cv-08808 Document #: 596 Filed: 11/27/23 Page 1 of 11 PageID #:23655

PARTIES' PROPOSED PHASE 2 VERDICT FORMS Submitted: November 27, 2023

## **Plaintiffs' Proposed Verdict Form**

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL, INC., THE KELLOGG COMPANY, GENERAL MILLS, INC., and NESTLÉ USA, INC.,	)
WILLS, INC., and NESTLE USA, INC.,	)
Plaintiffs,	) No. 1:11-cv-08808
v.	) Judge Steven C. Seeger
UNITED EGG PRODUCERS, INC.,	)
UNITED STATES EGG MARKETERS,	)
INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC., and	)
ROSE ACRE FARMS, INC.,	
	)
Defendants.	)

# **VERDICT FORM**

Please complete this verdict form by answering the following questions.

When completing the form, follow all directions given by the Court in the final jury instructions.

Some of the questions contain legal terms that the Court defined in the final jury instructions. You should refer to and consider the final jury instructions as you answer the questions in this verdict form.

Your answers must be unanimous.

What is the total amount of damage	s incurred by each of the following plaintiffs?
Kraft Foods Global:	<b>\$</b>
The Kellogg Company:	\$
General Mills:	<b>\$</b>
Nestle USA:	\$

You have now reached the end of the verdict form. Please review it carefully to ensure that it accurately reflects your unanimous decision.

Each juror should sign the verdict form in the spaces below and the presiding juror should date the form below and notify the court security officer that you have reached a unanimous verdict.

The presiding jury should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when jury is brought back into the courtroom.				
Presiding Juror				
Date:				

## **<u>Defendants'</u>** Proposed Verdict Form

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

KRAFT FOODS GLOBAL, INC., et al.,	)
Plaintiffs,	) Case No. 11-cv-8808
v.	) Hon. Steven C. Seeger
UNITED EGG PRODUCERS, INC., et al.,	)
Defendants.	)
	)

# **VERDICT FORM**

Please complete this verdict form by answering the following questions.

When completing the form, follow all directions given by the Court in the final jury instructions.

Some of the questions contain legal terms that the Court defined in the final jury instructions. You should refer to and consider the final jury instructions as you answer the questions in this verdict form.

Please notice the instructions after each question about answering additional questions.

Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

	the jury, unanimously find as follows on the questions submitted to us, and we return n this case as follows:
1. determining	Did Kraft Foods Global carry its burden of proving a reasonable basis for g damages it suffered for antitrust injury from October 2004-December 2008.
	YES NO
If you answe	ered "YES" to Question #1, proceed to Question #2.
If you answe	ered "NO" to Question #1, you must not award damages. Proceed to Question 3.
_	As to the amount of damages, we find that Plaintff Kraft Foods Global has asonable estimate of damages supported by the evidence in the following Please provide an amount (or zero) for each of A-D:
<b>A.</b>	Early Slaughter, Early Molting, and Reduction in Placement of Hens (i.e., the so-called Short-Term Measures).
\$	
В.	Exports as Part of the USEM Export Program
\$	
C.	Cage Space or Henhouse Density Restrictions as Part of the UEP Certified Program
\$	
D.	Restricting Backfilling of Egg-Producing Hens as Part of the UEP Certified Program
\$	
3. basis for de December 2	Did Plaintiff Kellogg Company carry its burden of proving a reasonable termining damages it suffered for antitrust injury from October 2004-2008.

If you answered "YES" to Question #3, proceed to Question #4.

NO \_\_\_\_\_

If	you answered	"NO" to (	Question #3,	you must not award	damages.	Proceed to C	uestion 5
----	--------------	-----------	--------------	--------------------	----------	--------------	-----------

		As to the amount of damages, we find that Plaintiff Kellogg Company has sonable estimate of damages based on the evidence in the following amounts. de an amount (or zero) for each of A-D:
	<b>A.</b>	Early Slaughter, Early Molting, and Reduction in Placement of Hens (i.e., the so-called Short-Term Measures).
	\$	
	B.	Exports as Part of the USEM Export Program
	\$	
	C.	Cage Space or Henhouse Density Restrictions as Part of the UEP Certified Program
	\$	
	D.	Restricting Backfilling of Egg-Producing Hens as Part of the UEP Certified Program
	\$	
deterr	5. nining	Did Plaintiff General Mills carry its burden to prove a reasonable basis for damages it suffered for antitrust injury from October 2004-December 2008.
		YES NO
If you	answei	red "YES" to Question #5, proceed to Question #6.
If you	answei	red "NO" to Question #5, you must not award damages. Proceed to Question 7.
		As to the amount of damages, we find that Plaintiff General Mills has proven estimate of damages based on the evidence in the following amounts. Please mount (or zero) for each of A-D:
	<b>A.</b>	Early Slaughter, Early Molting, and Reduction in Placement of Hens ( <i>i.e.</i> , the so-called Short-Term Measures).
	\$	

	В.	Exports as Part of the USEM Export Program		
	\$			
	C.	Cage Space or Henhouse Density Restrictions as Part of the UEP Certified Program		
	\$			
	D.	Restricting Backfilling of Egg-Producing Hens as Part of the UEP Certified Program		
	\$			
detern	7. nining	Did Plaintiff Nestlé USA carry its burden to prove a reasonable basis for damages it suffered for antitrust injury from October 2004-December 2008.		
		YES NO		
If you	answe	red "YES" to Question #7, proceed to Question #8.		
-		red "NO" to Question #7, you must not award damages. Proceed to the last page of orm and sign and date it.		
		As to the amount of damages, we find that Plaintiff Nestle USA has proven a estimate of damages based on the evidence in the following amounts. Please amount (or zero) for each of A-D.		
	<b>A.</b>	Early Slaughter, Early Molting, and Reduction in Placement of Hens (i.e., the so-called Short-Term Measures).		
	\$			
	В.	Exports as Part of the USEM Export Program		
	\$			
	C.	Cage Space or Henhouse Density Restrictions as Part of the UEP Certified Program		
	\$			
	D.	Restricting Backfilling of Egg-Producing Hens as Part of the UEP Certified Program		

\$	
You have now reached the end of that it accurately reflects your unanimous	If the verdict form. Please review it carefully to ensure s decision.
	t form in the spaces below and the presiding juror e court security officer that you have reached a
The presiding juror should retain pury is brought back into the courtroom. <sup>1</sup>	possession of the verdict form and bring it when the
Presiding Juror	
Date	
Date:	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Defendants reserve the right to suggest alternative inquiries depending on the Court's pre-trial rulings on contested matters and Plaintiffs' proffered theories of damages.